arXiv:1610.01886v1 [math.DG] 6 Oct 2016

Inverse mean curvature flow in complex hyperbolic space

Giuseppe Pipoli*

Abstract: We consider the evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of a closed, mean convex and star-shaped hypersurface in the complex hyperbolic space. We prove that the flow is defined for any positive time, the evolving hypersurface stays star-shaped and mean convex. Moreover the induced metric converges, after rescaling, to a conformal multiple of the standard sub-Riemannian metric on the sphere.

MSC 2010 subject classification 53C17, 53C40, 53C44.

1 Introduction

During last years geometric flows of submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds have been studied intensively. In the class of expanding flows, the leading example is the inverse mean curvature flow. In this paper we consider the evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of real hypersurfaces of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{CH}^n$, with $n \geq 2$. For any given smooth hypersurface $F_0 : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{CH}^n$, the solution of the inverse mean curvature flow with initial datum F_0 is a one-parameter family of smooth immersion $F : \mathcal{M} \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{CH}^n$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial F}{\partial t} &= \dfrac{1}{H}\nu, \\ F(\cdot, 0) &= F_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where H is the mean curvature of $F_t = F(\cdot, t)$ and ν is the outward unit normal vector of $\mathcal{M}_t = F_t(\mathcal{M})$.

In this paper we restrict to the class of star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{CH}^n$. The inverse mean curvature flow of star-shaped hypersurfaces has been already studied in different ambient manifolds: for example the Euclidean space [Ge1], the hyperbolic space [HW], asymptotic hyperbolic spaces [Ne] rotationally symmetric spaces [Di] and warped products [Zh]. In any case it was proved that the flow is defined for any positive time and the evolving hypersurface stays star-shaped for all the life of the flow. The geometry of the ambient manifold influences the nature of the limit of the induced metric. Gerhardt proved in [Ge1] that, for any star-shaped hypersurface of the Euclidean space, the limit

*This research is supported by the ERC Avanced Grant 320939, Geometry and Topology of Open Manifolds (GETOM)

metric is, up to rescaling, always the standard round metric on the sphere. In [HW] P.K. Hung and M.T. Wang showed that, when the ambient manifold is the hyperbolic space, the limit metric is not always round. More precisely it is a conformal multiple of the standard round metric on the sphere and so it is round only in special cases. The case studied in the present paper has some similarities with the previous results, but a new phenomenon appears: even after rescaling, the evolving metric blows up along a direction. Hence the limit metric is no more Riemannian, but only sub-Riemannian: it is defined only on a codimension-one distribution. The main theorem proved is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *For any $\mathcal{M}_0$ closed star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{CH}^n$ with $H > 0$, let $\mathcal{M}_t$ be its evolution by inverse mean curvature flow and let g_t be the induced metric on $\mathcal{M}_t$. Then $\mathcal{M}_t$ is defined, mean convex and star-shaped for any positive time. Moreover the rescaled induced metric $\tilde{g}_t = |\mathcal{M}_t|^{-\frac{1}{n}} g_t$ converges as $t \to \infty$ to $\tilde{g}_\infty = e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ a conformal multiple of the standard sub-Riemannian metric on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, where f is a smooth function.*

After proving that the flow can be extended for any positive time, we have that the volume of $\mathcal{M}_t$ becomes arbitrary large and then $\mathcal{M}_t$ "explores" the structure at infinity of the ambient manifold when t tends to infinity. Hence the convergence to a sub-Riemannian metric is not surprising because the boundary at infinity of $\mathbb{CH}^n$ is $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \sigma_{sR})$, the one point compactification of the Heisenberg group of dimension $2n-1$ endowed with its standard sub-Riemannian metric.

Obviously for any finite time t, $\tilde{g}_t$ is a Riemannian metric, but there is a direction in which the metric is blowing up. This special direction is $J\nu$, where J is the complex structure of $\mathbb{CH}^n$ and ν is the outward unit normal vector field of $\mathcal{M}_t$. Note that, since we are considering only submanifolds of codimension one, $J\nu$ is for sure tangent to $\mathcal{M}_t$. One of the main difficulties in generalizing the previous results is to describe the contribution of this special direction.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we collect some preliminaries and we fix some notation. In section 3 we compute the main geometric quantities for a star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{CH}^n$, like the induced metric, the second fundamental form and the mean curvature. In section 4 we have a simple but meaningful example, i.e. the evolution of the geodesic spheres. In section 5 we estimate the norm of the gradient of the radial function. As consequence we have that the property of being star-shaped is preserved by the flow. In section 6 we prove that the solution of the flow is defined for any positive time. In section 7 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 studying the convergence of the rescaled induced metric.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Riemannian and sub-Riemannian metrics on the sphere

Every hypersurface considered in this paper is closed and star-shaped and so it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, the sphere of dimension $2n-1$. On that sphere we have different

"standard" metrics. Let σ be the usual Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ with constant sectional curvature equal to 1. Since the dimension is odd, we can distinguish an important vector field: we have that the sphere in embedded in $(\mathbb{C}^n, J)$, then, if ν is the unit outward normal to $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, $\xi = J\nu$ is an unit tangent vector field on the sphere. We call it the *Hopf vector field*. It can be characterized also as the unit vector field tangent to the fibers of the Hopf fibration : $\pi : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. It allows us to define the *horizontal distribution* $\mathcal{H} = \{X \in T(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) \,|(\sigma(X, \xi) = 0\}$. The *Berger metrics* is a family of deformations of σ in the direction of ξ: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ let e_ε be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$\begin{cases} e_\varepsilon(X, Y) = \sigma(X, Y) & \text{for any } X,\ Y \in \mathcal{H}; \\ e_\varepsilon(X, \xi) = 0 & \text{for any } X \in \mathcal{H}; \\ e_\varepsilon(\xi, \xi) = \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

When ε converges to infinity, the metric e_ε degenerates on the directions tangent to ξ. At the limit we get σ_{sR} the *standard sub-Riemannian metric*, it is defined only on $\mathcal{H}$, but, since $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]$ is the whole tangent space, σ_{sR} is enough to define a distance on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, called the *Carnot-Caratheodory distance*.

2.2 Complex hyperbolic space

The complex hyperbolic space is the complex analogous of the real hyperbolic space. It can be defined in many equivalent ways, but, for our purpose, it is convenient introduce in polar coordinates. Let $\mathbb{CH}^n$ be $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ equipped with the *Bergman metric* $\bar{g}$:

$$\bar{g} = d\rho^2 + \sinh^2(\rho) e_{\cosh^2(\rho)},$$

where ρ represents the distance from the origin and $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$ is the Berger metric of parameter $\cosh^2(\rho)$ on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$. The Riemann curvature tensor of this metric has the following explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = & -\bar{g}(X, Z)\bar{g}(Y, W) + \bar{g}(X, W)\bar{g}(Y, Z) \\ & -\bar{g}(X, JZ)\bar{g}(Y, JW) + \bar{g}(X, JW)\bar{g}(Y, JZ) - 2\bar{g}(X, JY)\bar{g}(Z, JW), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where J is the complex structure of $\mathbb{CH}^n$. In our model it coincides with the usual complex structure of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. It follows that the sectional curvature of a plane spanned by two orthonormal vectors X and Y is given by

$$\bar{K}(X \wedge Y) = -1 - 3\bar{g}(X, JY)^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $-4 \leq \bar{K} \leq -1$ and it is equal to -1 (respectively to -4) if and only if X and JY are orthogonal (respectively parallel). This property makes the complex hyperbolic space a *complex space form*. Moreover it is Einstein with $\bar{Ric} = -2(n + 1)\bar{g}$ and symmetric, then $\bar{\nabla}\bar{R} = 0$.

Here and in the following we are using the convention to put a bar over the symbol for geometric quantity of the fixed ambient manifold $\mathbb{CH}^n$.

2.3 Inverse mean curvature flow

In (1.1) we defined the inverse mean curvature flow. Since we are considering only closed initial data, it is well know that the flow (1.1) has a unique smooth solution, at least for small times. The main geometric quantities for an hypersurface are: the induced metric g_{ij}, its inverse g^{ij}, the second fundamental form h_{ij}, the mean curvature $H = h_{ij}g^{ji}$ and the volume $|\mathcal{M}_t|$. They evolve in the following way along the inverse mean curvature flow.

Lemma 2.1 *Since the ambient space is symmetric the following evolution equations hold:*

(1) $\dfrac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = \dfrac{2}{H}h_{ij},$

(2) $\dfrac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial t} = -\dfrac{2}{H}h^{ij},$

(3) $\dfrac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \dfrac{\Delta H}{H^2} - 2\dfrac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^3} - \dfrac{|A|^2}{H} - \dfrac{\bar{R}ic(\nu,\nu)}{H},$

(4) $$\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\Delta h_{ij}}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\nabla_i H\nabla_j H + \frac{|A|^2}{H^2}h_{ij} - \frac{2}{H}\bar{R}_{i0j0} + \frac{h_{ij}}{H^2}\bar{R}ic(\nu,\nu)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{H^2}g^{lr}g^{ms}\left(2\bar{R}_{risj}h_{lm} - \bar{R}_{rmis}h_{jl} - \bar{R}_{rmjs}h_{il}\right),$$

(5) $$\frac{\partial h_i^j}{\partial t} = \frac{\Delta h_i^j}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\nabla_i H\nabla_k H g^{kj} + \frac{|A|^2}{H^2}h_i^j - \bar{R}_{i0k0}g^{kj} - 2\frac{h_i^k h_k^j}{H}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{H^2}\left(\bar{R}_{ikqp}h_l^p g^{kl}g^{qj} - \bar{R}_{kilp}h_q^p g^{kl}g^{qj}\right),$$

(6) $\dfrac{d\,|\mathcal{M}_t|}{dt} = |\mathcal{M}_t|,$

Here and in the following we are using Einstein convention on repeted indices. Moreover the operation of raising/lowering the indices is done with respect to the metric: for example $h_i^j = h_{ik}g^{kj}$. The proof of this Lemma is similar to the computation of the analogous equations for the mean curvature flow which can be found in [Hu]. Note that, integrating equation (6), we have that the inverse mean curvature flow is en expanding flow, precisely $|\mathcal{M}_t| = |\mathcal{M}_0|\, e^t$.

3 Geometry of star-shaped hypersurfaces

In this section we compute the main geometric quantities for a star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{CH}^n$. Let $F : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{CH}^n$ be a smooth star-shaped immersion. Up to an isometry of the ambient space, we can consider that it is star-shaped with respect to the origin. Then F is defined by its radial function: there exist a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that in polar coordinates $\mathcal{M} = F(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) = \{(z, \rho(z)) \in \mathbb{CH}^n \,|z \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}\}$. Fix any

$(Y_1, \dots, Y_{2n-1})$ tangent basis of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, for every i we define $\rho_i = Y_i(\rho)$ and $V_i = F_* Y_i \equiv Y_i + \rho_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$. Then $(V_1, \dots, V_{2n-1})$ is a tangent basis of $\mathcal{M}$. The induced metric on $\mathcal{M}$ is $g = F^* \bar{g}$, in local coordinates we have

$$g_{ij} = \rho_i \rho_j + \sinh^2(\rho) e_{ij}, \tag{3.1}$$

where for short $e_{ij} = (e_{\cosh^2(\rho)})_{ij}$. The inverse of the metric therefore is

$$g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\rho)} \left(e^{ij} - \frac{\rho^i \rho^j}{\sinh^2(\rho) + |\nabla \rho|^2} \right), \tag{3.2}$$

where e^{ij} is the inverse of e_{ij}, $\rho^i = \rho_k e^{ki}$ and the gradient and the norm of ρ are defined with respect to the metric $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$. In order to simplify the expressions we can fix a function $\varphi = \varphi(\rho)$ such that $\frac{d\varphi}{d\rho} = \frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)}$ and introduce $v = \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\sinh^2(\rho)}}$. Since $\varphi_i = Y_i(\varphi) = \frac{\rho_i}{\sinh(\rho)}$, we get $g_{ij} = \sinh^2(\rho)(\varphi_i \varphi_j + e_{ij})$, $g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\rho)} \left(e^{ij} - \frac{\varphi^i \varphi^j}{v^2} \right)$ and $v = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}$. A unit normal vector is $\nu = \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\nabla \rho}{\sinh^2(\rho)} \right)$.

Now we want to compute the second fundamental form of $\mathcal{M}$. Since the metric of the ambient space is not the same in any direction, it is convenient to introduce a specific basis tangent to $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$: let us define $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{2n-1})$, where $Y_1 = \xi$ is the Hopf vector field and $(Y_2, \dots, Y_{2n-1})$ is $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$-orthonormal and normal to Y_1. In this way we have

$$e_{\cosh^2(\rho)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh^2(\rho) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

where I_{2n-2} is the identity matrix of order $2n-2$. For each i and j let $h_{ij} = \bar{g} \left(\bar{\nabla}_{V_i} V_j, \nu \right)$. Moreover we introduce the following notation: Latin indices $i, j, ...$ range from 1 to $2n-1$ and are related to components tangent to the sphere, the index 0 represents the radial direction $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$ and Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, ...$ range from 0 to $2n-1$. An explicit computation, together to the fact that for every i $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}(\rho_i) = 0$ and $\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{Y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}) = 0$ we get:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{v} \left(\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij} \rho_k + \rho_i \rho_k \bar{\Gamma}^k_{0j} + \rho_j \rho_k \bar{\Gamma}^k_{0i} - \bar{\Gamma}^0_{ij} - \xi_i(\rho_j) \right).$$

We have that $\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij} = \Gamma'^k_{ij}$, the Christoffel symbols of the metric $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$, then $\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij} \rho_k - Y_i(\rho_j) = \rho_{ij}$. For short, let Y_0 be $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma}^0_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi_i(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}) - Y_\alpha(\bar{g}_{ij}) + \xi_j(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}) \right) \bar{g}^{\alpha 0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\bar{g}_{ij}) = -\gamma_i \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)(\sinh^2(\rho) + \cosh^2(\rho))\delta_{ij} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ -\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)\delta_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}^k_{i0} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_i\left(\bar{g}_{0\alpha}\right) - Y_\alpha\left(\bar{g}_{i0}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}\right)\right)\bar{g}^{\alpha k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}\right)\bar{g}^{\alpha k} = \eta_i\delta_{ik} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\delta_{ik} & \text{if } i=1, \\ \dfrac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)}\delta_{ik} & \text{if } i\neq 1. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\varphi_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)}\rho_{ij} - \frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\rho_i\rho_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_{ij} = \sinh(\rho)\varphi_{ij} + \sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)\varphi_i\varphi_j.$$

Summing up these quantities we get

$$\begin{aligned}h_{ij} &= \frac{1}{v}\left(-\rho_{ij} + \left(\eta_i+\eta_j\right)\rho_i\rho_j + \gamma_i\delta_{ij}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v}\left(-\varphi_{ij} + \left(\sinh(\rho)\eta_i + \sinh(\rho)\eta_j - \cosh(\rho)\right)\varphi_i\varphi_j + \frac{\gamma_i}{\sinh(\rho)}\delta_{ij}\right) \quad (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

Raising the second index we have

$$h^k_i = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{\varphi_{1j}\tilde{e}^{jk}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + \dfrac{\cosh^2(\rho)+\sinh^2(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\delta^k_1 + \dfrac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\varphi_1^2\tilde{e}^{1k} & \text{if } i=1; \\ -\dfrac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{jk}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + \dfrac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\delta^k_i + \dfrac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\tilde{e}_{i1}\tilde{e}^{1k} & \text{if } i\neq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\tilde{e}^{ij} = \sinh^2(\rho)g^{ij} = e^{ij} - \frac{\varphi^i\varphi^j}{v^2}$ Taking the trace of (3.4) with respect to the metric g_{ij} we obtain the mean curvature of $\mathcal{M}$.

$$\begin{aligned}H &= h_{ij}g^{ij} \\ &= \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v}\left[-\varphi_{ij}g^{ij} + \left(\frac{2\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)}\varphi_1^2 + \cosh(\rho)(\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho))\right)g^{11}\right. \\ &\quad \left. +2\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{j\neq 1}\varphi_1\varphi_j g^{1j} + \cosh(\rho)\sum_{i,j\neq 1}\left(\varphi_i\varphi_j+\delta_{ij}\right)g^{ij}\right] \\ &= \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v}\left[-\varphi_{ij}g^{ij} + \left(\frac{2\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)}\varphi_1^2 + \cosh(\rho)(\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho))\right)g^{11}\right. \\ &\quad +2\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{j\neq 1}g_{1j}g^{1j} + \frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\sum_{i,j\neq 1}g_{ij}g^{ij} \\ &\quad \left. +\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)}g_{11}g^{11} - \frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)}g_{11}g^{11} + \frac{2}{\cosh(\rho)}g_{11}g^{11} - \frac{2}{\cosh(\rho)}g_{11}g^{11}\right] \\ &= \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v}\left[-\varphi_{ij}g^{ij} + -\sinh^2(\rho)\cosh(\rho)g^{11} + \frac{2}{\cosh(\rho)} + (2n-1)\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\right].\end{aligned}$$

After some trivial computation we get

$$H = \frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)v}\left[-\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij} + \eta(\rho)\frac{\varphi_1^2}{v^2} + \gamma(\rho)\right], \tag{3.6}$$

where $\eta(\rho) = \frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{\cosh^3(\rho)}$ and $\gamma(\rho) = \frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\cosh(\rho)}$.

4 The case of geodesic spheres

In this section we specify what we found in the previous one in the case of the geodesic spheres and compute their evolution under inverse mean curvature flow. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a geodesic sphere, i.e. a star-shaped hypersurface with constant radial function $\rho = \rho_0$. From (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we can found that $\mathcal{M}_0$ has two distinct principal curvatures: $\lambda(\rho) = \coth(\rho)$ with multiplicity $2n-2$ and $\mu(\rho) = \tanh(\rho) + \coth(\rho) = 2\coth(2\rho)$ with multiplicity 1 and eigenvector ξ. It follows that

$$H = (2n-1)\coth(\rho) + \tanh(\rho) = \frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho) - 1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}.$$

In particular the mean curvature depends only on the radius and then the evolution of $\mathcal{M}_0$ by inverse mean curvature flow reduces to an ODE: the evolution on $\mathcal{M}_0$ is a family of geodesic spheres $\mathcal{M}_t$ of radius $\rho(t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\rho} = \frac{1}{H} = \frac{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}, \\ \rho(0) = \rho_0. \end{cases}$$

Trying to solve this ODE we arrive at an implicit value for $\rho(t)$: $\cosh(\rho(t))\sinh^{2n-1}(\rho(t)) = \cosh(\rho_0)\sinh^{2n-1}(\rho_0)e^t$. Therefore the solution is defined for any positive time and $\rho(t) = \frac{t}{2n} + o(1)$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover $|\mathcal{M}_t| = |\mathcal{M}_0|\, e^t$. Then we get that the rescaled induce metric is

$$\tilde{g} = |\mathcal{M}_t|^{-\frac{1}{n}}\, g = \frac{\sinh^2(\rho(t))}{|\mathcal{M}_0|^{\frac{1}{n}}\, e^{\frac{t}{n}}} e_{\cosh^2(\rho(t))}.$$

Obviously $\frac{\sinh^2(\rho(t))}{e^{\frac{t}{n}}} \to 1$ and $\cosh^2(\rho(t)) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. We can see the new phenomenon: the rescaled metric does not converge to a Riemannian metric, but to a sub-Riemannian metric defined only on $\mathcal{H}$. More precisely $\tilde{g}_t$ converges to a constant multiple of σ_{sR}.

The following result is useful to bound the evolution of the radial function in the general case.

Lemma 4.1 *Consider two geodesic spheres in $\mathbb{CH}^n$ of radius $\rho_1(0)$ and $\rho_2(0)$ respectively. For every $i = 1, 2$, let $\rho_i(t)$ be the evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of initial datum $\rho_i(0)$, then there exist a positive constant c depending only on n, $\rho_1(0)$ and $\rho_2(0)$ such that for every time we have*

$$|\rho_2(t) - \rho_1(t)| \leq c\,|\rho_2(0) - \rho_1(0)|\,.$$

Proof. We can suppose that $\rho_2(0) > \rho_1(0)$ and then this inequality is preserved by the flow. Let us define $\delta = \delta(t) = \rho_2(t) - \rho_1(t)$. The function δ satisfies

$$\frac{d\delta}{dt} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)\coth(\rho_2) + \tanh(\rho_2)} - \frac{1}{(2n-1)\coth(\rho_1) + \tanh(\rho_1)}$$

Moreover it is easy to see that $\frac{d\rho_1}{dt} > c_1$ with c_1 positive constant which depends only on $\rho_1(0)$. Then $\rho_1(t) > c_1 t + \rho_1(0)$. Furthermore trivially $\tanh(\rho_2) > \tanh(\rho_1) > 0$ and $\coth(\rho_1) > \coth(\rho_2) > 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\delta}{dt} &\leq \frac{1}{2n-1}\left(\coth(\rho_1) - \coth(\rho_2)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2n-1)\sinh^2(\tau)}\delta, \qquad \text{for some } \tau \in [\rho_1, \rho_2] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(2n-1)\sinh^2(\rho_1)}\delta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(2n-1)\sinh^2(c_1 t + \rho_1(0))}\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\delta(t)) - \log(\delta(0)) &\leq \frac{1}{2n}\int_0^t \sinh^{-2}(c_1 s + \rho_1(0))ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2n}\int_0^\infty \sinh^{-2}(c_1 s + \rho_1(0))ds = c_2 < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Then the thesis follows. □

From these properties of the geodesic spheres we can deduce some estimates on the evolution of any star-shaped hypersurface. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be defined by the radial function $\rho(0)$, $\rho(t)$ its evolution by inverse mean curvature flow and $\rho_1 = \min_{\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}} \rho(0)$ and $\rho_2 = \max_{\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}} \rho(0)$. Then, with the same notation of the previous Lemma, we have $\rho_1(t) \leq \rho(t) \leq \rho_2(t)$ for any time t the flow is defined. Applying Lemma 4.1 we have that the oscillation of $\rho(t)$ is bounded by a constant which depends only on the initial datum. Below we will show that the flow is defined for any positive time also for any star-shaped initial datum. It follows that in any case we have $\rho(t) = \frac{t}{2n} + o(1)$ as $t \to \infty$.

5 First order estimates

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.1 *There exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$|\nabla\varphi|^2_\sigma \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t},$$

where the gradient and the norm are taken with respect to σ.

This result has an immediate geometric consequence.

Corollary 5.2 *The evolution of any star-shaped hypersurface stays star-shaped for any time the flow is defined.*

Proof. An hypersurface is star-shaped if and only if $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$ and ν are never orthogonal in $\mathbb{CH}^n$. This means that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\bar{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho},\nu\right)=\frac{1}{v}\geq\frac{1}{c}\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad v^2\leq c^2$$

Recalling that $v^2=1+|\nabla\varphi|_e^2$, the thesis follows from Theorem 5.1 noting that $|\nabla\varphi|_e^2\leq|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2$. □

At first we can prove a weaker estimate for $|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2$.

Lemma 5.3

$$|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2\leq\sup_{z\in\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}}|\nabla\varphi(z,0)|_\sigma^2\,.$$

Proof. Let us define $\omega=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2$. We want to compute the evolution equation for ω. We have

$$\frac{1}{Hv}=\frac{d\rho}{dt}=\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}-\frac{\rho^i\rho_i}{Hv\sinh^2(\rho)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{Hv}\left(1+\frac{|\nabla\rho|_e^2}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\right)=\frac{v}{H},\tag{5.1}$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}=\frac{v}{H\sinh(\rho)}=:\frac{1}{F}\tag{5.2}$$

holds. From the explicit computation of the mean curvature (3.6) we have

$$F=F(\varphi,\varphi_i,\varphi_{ij})=\frac{-\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}+\eta(\rho)\frac{\varphi_1^2}{v^2}+\gamma(\rho)}{v^2}.$$

Now we can compute the evolution equation of ω: let $a^{ij}=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi_{ij}}=\frac{\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v^2}$ and $a^i=\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi_i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t}&=D^k\varphi D_k\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\\&=-\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}D_k\varphi_{ij}D^k\varphi+a^iD_k\varphi_iD^k\varphi+\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}D_k\varphi D^k\varphi\right)\\&=-\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}D_k\varphi_{ij}D^k\varphi+a^iD_i\omega+2\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}\omega\right)\end{aligned}$$

We can apply the rule for interchanging derivatives:

$$D_k\varphi_{ij} = D_kD_iD_j\varphi = D_jD_iD_k\varphi + R^m{}_{ijk}D_m\varphi,$$

where this time R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of σ, i.e. $R_{sijk} = \sigma_{sj}\sigma_{ik} - \sigma_{sk}\sigma_{ij}$. Since a^{ij} is symmetric we get:

$$\begin{aligned} -a^{ij}D_k\varphi_{ij}D^k\varphi &= -a^{ij}D_jD_iD_k\varphi D^k\varphi - a^{ij}\left(\delta^m_j\sigma_{ik} - \delta^m_k\sigma_{ij}\right)D_m\varphi D^k\varphi \\ &= -a^{ij}D_iD_j\omega + a^{ij}D_iD_k\varphi D_jD^k\varphi - a^{ij}D_i\varphi D_j\varphi + 2a^i_i\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial\rho}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\varphi} = \sinh(\rho)\frac{d\eta}{d\rho}\frac{\varphi_1^2}{v^4} + \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v^2}\frac{d\gamma}{d\rho} \\ &= \frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2\cosh^2(\rho)}\left(\frac{3-\cosh^2(\rho)}{\cosh^2(\rho)}\frac{\varphi_1^2}{v^2} + 2n\cosh^2(\rho) + 1\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2\cosh^2(\rho)}\left((2n-1)\cosh^2(\rho) + 4\right) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The first inequality in the last line is trivial if $\cosh^2(\rho) \leq 3$, otherwise we note that $\frac{\varphi_1^2}{v^2} \leq \cosh^2(\rho)$. Note that $a^{ij} = \frac{\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v^2}$, hence it is positive definite. Finally we have that

$$a^{ij}D_iD_k\varphi D_jD^k\varphi = a^{ij}\sigma^{kl}D_iD_k\varphi D_jD_l\varphi \geq 0$$

because, as showed in [Di], if A, B and C are symmetric matrices, with A and B positive definite, then $tr(ACBC) \geq 0$. The thesis follow by the maximum principle. □

Lemma 5.4 *There exist two positive constants C_3 and C_4 depending only on n and the initial datum such that for any time the flow is defined*

$$C_3 \leq H \leq C_4$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $|A|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2n-1}H^2$ we can compute

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\Delta H}{H^2} - \frac{H}{2n-1} + \frac{2(n+1)}{H}.$$

By the maximum principle, it is easy to show that H is bounded from above. To prove that H is bounded from below we define $\psi = \frac{v}{\sinh(\rho)H}e^{\frac{t}{2n}} = \frac{1}{F}e^{\frac{t}{2n}} = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}e^{\frac{t}{2n}}$ and we prove that this function is bounded from above. Preceding like in the proof of Lemma 5.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}e^{\frac{t}{2n}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}\frac{\partial\varphi_{ij}}{\partial t} + a^i\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right)e^{\frac{t}{2n}} + \frac{1}{2n}\psi \\ &= -\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}\psi_{ij} + a^i\psi_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}\psi\right) + \frac{1}{2n}\psi \end{aligned}$$

From (5.3) we have that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi} \geq (2n-1)\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2}$, moreover $\frac{1}{F^2} = \psi^2 e^{-\frac{t}{n}}$. By Lemma 5.3 v^2 is bounded. Since the function $\sinh^2(\rho)e^{-\frac{t}{n}}$ is bounded too, we get that

$$-\frac{1}{F^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}\psi + \frac{1}{2n}\psi \leq -(2n-1)\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2}e^{-\frac{t}{n}}\psi^3 + \frac{1}{2n}\psi \leq -c\psi^3 + \frac{1}{2n}\psi,$$

for some positive constant c. By the maximum principle we deduce that $\psi = \frac{v}{\sinh(\rho)H}e^{\frac{t}{2n}}$ is bounded. This imply that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $H \geq cv\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2n}}}{\sinh(\rho)}$. The thesis follows since $v \geq 1$ by definition and $\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2n}}}{\sinh(\rho)}$ is bounded. □

Since we proved that H is bounded and the inequality (5.3) we have that $\frac{1}{F^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}$ is bounded away from zero. Proceeding like in the proof of Lemma 5.3 we can complete the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6 Second order estimates

Lemma 6.1 *Let us define the tensor $M_i^j = Hh_i^j$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial M_i^j}{\partial t} &= \frac{\Delta M_i^j}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\left\langle \nabla H, \nabla M_i^j \right\rangle - \frac{2}{H^3}\nabla_i H \nabla_k H g^{kj} - 2\frac{M_i^k M_k^j}{H^2} - \bar{R}_{i0k0}g^{kj} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{H^2}g^{qs}g^{kj}\left(2\bar{R}_{pisk}M_q^p - \bar{R}_{pqis}M_k^p - \bar{R}_{pqks}M_i^p\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Some simple computations give that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla M_i^j &= h_i^j \nabla H + H\nabla h_i^j \\ \Delta M_i^j &= h_i^j \Delta H + H\Delta h_i^j + 2\left\langle \nabla H, \nabla h_i^j \right\rangle \\ &= h_i^j \Delta H + H\Delta h_i^j + \frac{2}{H}\left\langle \nabla H, \nabla M_i^j \right\rangle - 2\frac{h_i^j}{H}|\nabla H|^2\end{aligned}$$

Using the evolution equation for H and h_i^j in Lemma 2.1 we get the thesis. □

Corollary 6.2 *The principal curvatures of the hypersurface evolving by inverse mean curvature flow are uniformly bounded.*

Proof. Since by Lemma 5.4 H is bounded (in particular from below), it is sufficient to prove that the principal curvatures are bounded from above. Let $m = 2n-1$ and $\mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_m$ the eigenvalues of M_i^j. The trace of M_i^j is $\sum_i \mu_i = H^2 > 0$, then $\mu_m > 0$ everywhere. To conclude the proof we want to show that μ_m is bounded from above. Fix a point (x_0, t_0) where μ_m reaches its maximum. At this point we can fix an orthonormal basis which diagonalizes M_i^j, then we can say that at this point μ_m satisfies the same equation for M_m^m found in Lemma 6.1. The following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{2}{H^2}\nabla_m H \nabla_k H g^{km} &= -\frac{2}{H^2}(\nabla_m H)^2 \leq 0,\\
-\bar{R}_{m0j0}g^{jm} &= -\bar{R}_{m0m0} \leq 4,\\
2g^{qs}g^{km}\bar{R}_{pmsk}M^p_q &= \sum_q 2\mu_q \bar{R}_{qmqm},\\
g^{qs}g^{km}\bar{R}_{pqms}M^p_k &= g^{qs}g^{km}\bar{R}_{pqks}M^p_m\\
&= \sum_q \mu_m \bar{R}_{qmqm}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{qs}g^{km}\left(2\bar{R}_{pmsk}M^p_q - \bar{R}_{pqms}M^p_k - \bar{R}_{pqks}M^p_m\right) &= 2\sum_q \bar{R}_{qmqm}\left(\mu_q - \mu_m\right)\\
&\leq -8\sum_q (\mu_q - \mu_m)\\
&= 8(2n-1)\mu_m - 8H^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting together these computations we have that at (x_0, t_0)

$$
\frac{\partial \mu_m}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\Delta \mu_m}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\langle \nabla H, \nabla \mu_m\rangle - \frac{2\mu_m}{H^2}\left(\mu_m - 4(2n-1)\right).
$$

Applying the maximum principle we have the thesis. □

It follows the uniform parabolicity of equation (5.1) and an uniform C^2-estimate for the function $\rho(\cdot, t)$. Arguing as in chapter 2.6 of [Ge1], we can conclude that the solution of the flow is defined for any positive time and it is smooth, since the initial datum is smooth.

7 The rescaled metric

In this section we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 studying the limit of the rescaled metric.

Theorem 7.1 *The metric $\tilde{g} = |\mathcal{M}|^{-\frac{1}{n}} g$ converges to a sub-Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}_\infty = e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$, for some smooth function f.*

Proof. For every time t, let $\hat{\rho}_t$ be the radius of a geodesic sphere $B_{\hat{\rho}_t}$ such that $|\mathcal{M}_t| = |B_{\hat{\rho}_t}|$ and define $f_t = \rho(x,t) - \hat{\rho}_t$. We recall that $g_{ij} = \sinh^2(\rho)\left(\varphi_i\varphi_j + e_{ij}\right)$ and $\hat{\rho} = \frac{t}{2n} + o(1)$ as $t \to \infty$. Obviously $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)} \to \sigma_{sR}$ as $t \to \infty$. In Theorem 5.1 we proved that $|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, then in particular for each i φ_i converges to zero. Moreover there exists a

constant c which depends only on n and $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that $|\mathcal{M}_t|^{\frac{1}{n}} = ce^{\frac{t}{n}}$. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} \tilde{g} &= \frac{1}{c}\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{e^{\frac{t}{2n}}}\right)\sigma_{sR} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_n}\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{2f_t}\sigma_{sR}.\end{aligned}$$

The thesis follows if we can prove that f_t converges, as t goes to infinity, to a smooth functions f_∞, then f will be a multiple of such f_∞. If we denote with $\hat{H}$ the mean curvature of $B_{\hat{\rho}_t}$, by (5.1) we have that

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{d\hat{\rho}_t}{dt} = \frac{v}{H} - \frac{1}{\hat{H}}$$

We claim that there exist c and γ positive constants such that $\left|\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}\right| \leq ce^{-\gamma t}$, then with standard methods we can prove the existence of a smooth limit f_∞. Using triangle inequality we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{H}|v-1| + \frac{1}{H\hat{H}}\left(|H-2n| + \left|\hat{H}-2n\right|\right).$$

Since we know that H, $\hat{H}$ and v are bounded, it is sufficient to prove that the terms $|v-1|$, $|H-2n|$ and $\left|\hat{H}-2n\right|$ have an exponential decay. Invoking Theorem 5.1, the expression of the mean curvature (3.6) and the fact that ρ and $\hat{\rho}_t$ grow like $\frac{t}{2n}$, we can find positive constants c and γ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}|v-1| &= \frac{|v^2-1|}{v+1} = \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{v+1} \leq ce^{-\gamma t}, \\ \left|\hat{H}-2n\right| &= \left|\frac{2n\cosh^2(\hat{\rho}_t)-1}{\sinh(\hat{\rho}_t)\cosh(\hat{\rho}_t)} - 2n\right| \\ &\leq 2n\left|\frac{\cosh(\hat{\rho}_t)}{\sinh(\hat{\rho}_t)} - 1\right| + \frac{1}{\sinh(\hat{\rho}_t)\cosh(\hat{\rho}_t)} \leq ce^{-\gamma t}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}|H-2n| &= \left|\frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)v}\left[-\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij} + \eta(\rho)\frac{\varphi_1^2}{v^2} + \gamma(\rho)\right] - 2n\right| \\ &\leq \left|\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}}{\sinh(\rho)v}\right| + \left|\eta(\rho)\frac{\varphi_1^2}{\sinh(\rho)v^3}\right| + \left|\frac{\gamma(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)v} - 2n\right| \\ &\leq ce^{-C_3 t}. \qquad (7.1)\end{aligned}$$

In fact the first two terms have an exponential decay since φ_{ij}, φ_1 are bounded and by the definition of $\eta(\rho)$, while, arguing as above,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\gamma(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)v}-2n\right| &= \left|\frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)v}-2n\right| \\ &\leq \frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\left|\frac{1}{v}-1\right|+\left|\frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}-2n\right| \\ &= \frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{v(v+1)}+\left|\frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}-2n\right| \leq ce^{-\gamma t}\end{aligned}$$

References

[Di] QI DING, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces*, Chin. Ann. Math. **32B(1)** (2011), 27 - 44.

[Ge1] CLAUS GERHARDT, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geometry, **32** (1990), 299 - 314.

[Ge2] CLAUS GERHARDT, *Curvature problems* Series in Geometry and Topology, vol. 39, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2006.

[HI] GERHARD HUISKEN, TOM ILMANEN, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geometry, **59(3)** (2001), 353 - 437.

[Hu] GERHARD HUISKEN, *Contracting convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds by their mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **84(3)** (1986), 463 - 480.

[HW] PEI-KEN HUNG, MU-TAO WANG, *Inverse mean curvature flow in the hyperbolic 3-space revisited*, Calculus of variation and partial differential equations **54(1)**, 119 - 126.

[KS] NAOYUKI KOIKE, YUSUKE SAKAI, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rank one symmetric spaces of non-compact type*, Kyushu J. Math. **69** (2015), 259 - 284.

[Ne] ANDRÉ NEVES, *Insufficient convergence of inverse mean curvature flow on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **84** (2016), 191 - 229.

[Pa] JOHN R. PARKER, *Notes on complex hyperbolic geometry*, http://maths.dur.ac.uk/ dma0jrp/img/NCHG.pdf (2003).

[Zh] HENGYU ZHOU, *Inverse mean curvature flows in warped product manifolds* arXiv:1609.09665v1.

Giuseppe Pipoli, Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, France.
E-mail: giuseppe.pipoli@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr